

Group Briefing
September 2019

New Liquidity Stress Testing Rules for Investment Funds

Best Firm in Ireland 2019, 2018 & 2017
Europe Women in Business Law Awards

**Best National Firm for Women in Business Law
2019, 2018 & 2017**
Europe Women in Business Law Awards

**Best National Firm Mentoring Programme 2019,
2018 & 2017**
Europe Women in Business Law Awards

**Best National Firm for Minority Women Lawyers
2019 & 2018**
Europe Women in Business Law Awards

Ireland Law Firm of the Year 2019 & 2018
Who's Who Legal

Most Inclusive Law Firm 2019
Managing Partners' Forum Awards

Ireland M&A Legal Adviser of the Year 2018
Mergermarket European M&A Awards

Ireland Client Service Law Firm of the Year 2018
Chambers Europe Awards

Ireland Law Firm of the Year 2018
International Financial Law Review (IFLR)
Europe Awards

**Advised on Equity Deal of the Year 2018 –
Allied Irish Banks IPO**
International Financial Law Review (IFLR)
Europe Awards

Ireland Law Firm of the Year 2017
Chambers Europe Awards

This document contains a general summary of developments and is not a complete or definitive statement of the law. Specific legal advice should be obtained where appropriate.

Fund liquidity looks set to remain a regulatory and supervisory priority at both domestic and EU level over the coming year.

In an industry letter issued 7 August 2019, the Central Bank highlighted the importance of liquidity risk management and liquidity stress testing in investment funds and at EU level, ESMA has published its final guidelines on liquidity stress testing (“**Guidelines**”) in UCITS and AIFs.

The **Guidelines** apply to fund managers and provide guidance on how they should carry out liquidity stress tests on the individual funds that they manage and how depositaries should fulfil their obligations regarding these stress tests. The **Guidelines**, are supplementary to the requirements on liquidity stress testing (“**LST**”) in the AIFM and UCITS Directives and may be applied proportionately, having regard to the nature, scale and complexity of each fund. The **Guidelines** apply to all UCITS and AIFs, with ESMA clarifying that this includes those funds established as money market funds, ETFs, and leveraged closed-ended AIFs¹.

¹ In the case of money market funds, the scope is limited to those aspects of the **Guidelines** that are not already covered by the Money Market Funds Regulation (namely; the design of LST models, the

The **Guidelines** require fund managers to stress test the assets and liabilities of the funds they manage, which includes redemption requests by investors. Managers should be aware of the liquidity risk of the funds they manage and use stress testing as a tool to mitigate this risk. Under the **Guidelines**, fund managers must:

- » integrate LST into a fund’s risk management framework and LST should be subject to appropriate governance and oversight;
- » have a strong understanding of liquidity risks and a fund’s overall liquidity profile;
- » develop LST models, ensuring that the LST provides information enabling follow-up action;
- » adapt the LST to each fund;

LST policy, governance principles for LST, understanding liquidity risk and the depositary requirement). For ETFs, the **Guidelines** apply in addition to ESMA’s **Guidelines** on ETFs and other UCITS Issues. However, in the case of ETFs LST should be adapted to take into account the specificities of ETFs such as the role of authorised participants, redemption models and replication models.

-
- » include an LST policy in the UCITS and/or AIF risk management process. This policy should be kept under review and the LST adapted as appropriate. This policy should include at a minimum:
 - » a statement of ownership of the policy and the management function responsible for its performance;
 - » a clear definition of the role of senior management in the LST process;
 - » the interaction of the LST policy with other liquidity risk management procedures, including the fund manager's contingency plans and the portfolio management function;
 - » a requirement for regular internal reporting of LST results specifying the frequency and recipients of the report;
 - » provision for periodic review, documentation of the results and a procedure for amending the policy where required by the review;
 - » the funds subject to LST;
 - » initial validation of the LST models and assumptions underpinning them, which should be performed independently from portfolio management (though not necessarily by an entity/person external to the manager);
 - » the types and severity of stress test scenarios used and the reasons for selecting those scenarios;
 - » the assumptions used relating to data availability for the scenarios, their rationale and how frequently they are revisited; and
 - » the methods for liquidating assets, including the limitations and assumptions used.
 - » carry out LST at least annually, although ESMA recommends that it is conducted quarterly, unless a higher or lower frequency is justified by the characteristics of the fund. This explanation should be noted in the LST policy. Ad-hoc LST should be undertaken as soon as practicable if a material risk to fund liquidity is identified by the manager and requires being addressed in a timely manner. ESMA has included examples of factors that fund managers should take into account in deciding on the appropriate LST frequency to apply. These include:
 - » the liquidity of the fund and any change in the liquidity of the assets;
 - » whether the fund is open-ended or closed-ended; and
 - » the redemption policy and use of liquidity management tools.
 - » notify national regulators of material risks and the actions taken to address them; and
 - » during product development be able to demonstrate to their regulator that the fund will remain sufficiently liquid during normal and stressed circumstances.
- The Guidelines also require depositaries to verify that a fund has documented procedures for its LST programme, which could include reviewing the UCITS and/or AIF risk management process to confirm that the manager carries out LST on the fund. However, a depositary does not need to replicate or challenge the LST performed by a manager.
-

Further, regulators may request submission of the fund manager's LST in order to demonstrate that the fund is likely to comply with applicable rules, including those regarding the fund's ability to meet redemption requests in normal and stressed conditions. Regulators will also have the discretion to request information from fund managers regarding their LST models and the results of their stress tests. Therefore, fund managers should now be reviewing their overall liquidity management processes and preparing to comply with the Guidelines in advance of their application on **30 September 2020**.

Additionally, ESMA has developed a stress simulation framework for the investment funds sector. ESMA will use this stress simulation framework as part of its regular risk monitoring to identify risk and assess possible adverse scenarios that might impact the EU fund industry. Regulators can use this methodology to simulate stress situations for different segments of the funds industry.

Commenting on the framework, ESMA Chair, Steven Maijor stated that:

"The stress simulation framework is a key element of ESMA's stress testing strategy, which also includes guidelines on liquidity stress testing and on money market fund stress testing. The resilience of the fund sector is of growing importance as it accounts for an increasing part of the EU financial system.

This framework will be an important tool for supervisors to assess risks in the asset management industry, as the methodology developed by ESMA can be applied across the industry's different sectors."

KEY CONTACTS

If you would like to discuss the foregoing, or require any assistance assessing your requirements, please feel free to contact a member of our team.

**KEVIN MURPHY****PARTNER, CO-HEAD**

+353 1 920 1177

kevin.murphy@arthurcox.com

**TARA O'REILLY****PARTNER, CO-HEAD**

+353 1 920 1787

tara.oreilly@arthurcox.com

**SARAH CUNNIFF****PARTNER**

+353 1 920 1171

sarah.cunniff@arthurcox.com

**DARA HARRINGTON****PARTNER**

+353 1 920 1206

dara.harrington@arthurcox.com

**CORMAC COMMINS****PARTNER**

+353 1 920 1786

cormac.commins@arthurcox.com

**IAN DILLON****PARTNER**

+353 1 920 1788

ian.dillon@arthurcox.com

**SIOBHÁN MCBEAN****PARTNER**

+353 1 920 1052

siobhan.mcbean@arthurcox.com

arthurcox.com**Dublin**

+353 1 920 1000

dublin@arthurcox.com

Belfast

+44 28 9023 0007

belfast@arthurcox.com

London

+44 207 832 0200

london@arthurcox.com

New York

+1 212 782 3294

newyork@arthurcox.com

Silicon Valley

+1 650 943 2330

siliconvalley@arthurcox.com